arXiv:0809.4004v1 [physics.pop-ph] 23 Sep 2008

There is no explosion risk associated with superfluid Helium in the LHC cooling system

Malcolm Fairbairn and Bob McElrath
CERN theory group, Geneva 23, CH 1211, Switzerland

September 2008

Abstract

We evaluate speculation about the possibility of a dangerous release of energy within the liquid Helium of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) cryogenic system due to the occurrence of a "Bose-Nova". Bose-Novae are radial bursts of rapidly moving atoms which can occur when a Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) undergoes a collapse due the interatomic potential being deliberately made attractive using a magnetic field close to the Feshbach resonance. Liquid ^{4}He has a monatomic structure with s-wave electrons, zero nuclear spin, no hyperfine splitting, and as a consequence no Feshbach resonance which would allow one to change its normally repulsive interactions to be attractive. Because of this, a Bose-Nova style collapse of ^{4}He is impossible. Additional speculations concerning cold fusion during these events are easily dismissed using the usual arguments about the Coulomb barrier at low temperatures, and are not needed to explain the Bose-Einstein condensate Bose-Nova phenomenon. We conclude that that there is no physics whatsoever which suggests that Helium could undergo any kind of unforeseen catastrophic explosion.

It has been suggested that there may be some danger associated with the liquid Helium cryogenic system of the LHC. The issue under discussion is whether or not some kind of explosive event related to the phenomenon known as a "Bose-nova" could occur within the liquid Helium, as superfluid liquid ^{4}He is a type of Bose condensate. There have also been suggestions that Bose-nova events are actually signals of a type of cold nuclear fusion [1, 2].

Liquid Helium is a substance with a long history of usage, and absolutely no history of spontaneous explosions. The only hazard listed by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration is that it is a "simple asphyxiant". It was first liquefied in 1908, and is routinely used all over the world by physics departments in condensed matter experiments. Furthermore, it has been used in

high energy physics as a target in bubble chambers so the exercise of a beam impinging on liquid Helium is one that has been repeated millions of times without incident. One recent example of the use of liquid Helium as a target is at the Japanese RIKEN Laboratory [3].

A Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) can form when the de Broglie wavelengths of individual particles become larger than their typical separation, and the atoms condense into an entity exhibiting collective dynamics [4]. Since the first production of a BEC in the mid 1990s [5], considerable progress has been made in controlling their properties by manipulating the inter-atomic forces between the atoms making up the condensates using magnetic fields. In particular, magnetic fields close to a Feshbach resonance of the atoms in question can lead to large changes in the amount of repulsion or attraction between atoms [6].

A Feshbach resonance occurs when the kinetic energy associated with the scattering state between two atoms is degenerate with the energy of a molecular bound state of those atoms. Magnetic field strengths can be tuned so that this degeneracy occurs in atomic systems where the bound and unbound states possess different Zeeman shifts [7]. Tuning the interatomic interaction from repulsive to attractive therefore requires a Feshbach resonance, which only occurs if the atom has hyperfine structure.

In 2001, researchers used the Feshbach resonance to control the interatomic attraction between atoms in a Bose-Einstein condensate of Rubidium atoms and were able to induce an implosion of the condensate resulting in atoms rapidly leaving the conglomeration, dubbed a "Bose-nova" by the authors [8, 9, 10]. Further studies investigated the dynamics of the explosion as a function of the speed of the variation of the magnetic field. Similar collapses also occur in Bose-Einstein condensates formed from Lithium atoms where the interatomic force is naturally attractive at zero magnetic field strength [11].

The origin of the burst is well understood as being due to the release of kinetic energy from local spikes in the atomic density that form during the collapse [12, 13]. An additional component of atoms released during a collapse arises from inelastic collisions between molecules formed at the Feshbach resonance and other atoms and molecules, which becomes more important as the density increases in a collapse [14, 15, 16]. There are no nuclear reactions involved in this generally accepted explanation.

The collapse phenomenon is not relevant for the case of ^{4}He. ^{4}He has no hyperfine structure since the electrons are all in s-wave orbits and there are no un-paired electrons, and furthermore it has zero nuclear spin. It is by tuning the hyperfine splitting that one can change the properties of atoms with magnetic fields, so the configuration of electrons and zero nuclear spin in ^{4}He means that there is no Zeeman effect and therefore no Feshbach resonance. The ^{4}He scattering length remains positive in all magnetic field strengths, whereas a negative scattering length would be required to create a Bose-Nova.

The release of chemical binding energy associated with the formation of

molecules in 3-body interactions is not possible due to the chemical inactivity of ^{4}He. Bound states of ^{4}He, held together by the weak Van der Waals forces do exist, [17, 18] but the binding energy which could be released in the formation of such states would correspond to less than a thousandth of the thermal energy of the Helium at 1.9 K [19].

Even if something unknown to science caused Helium to collapse or to form molecules, this would simply heat the Helium until it was no longer superfluid. The LHC Helium system is specifically designed to dissipate heat. The LHC magnets and cryogenics are well prepared for a similar situation in which the magnet spontaneously becomes non-superconducting (quenching), releasing the stored magnetic field energy as heat. Liquid ^{4}He at 1.9K has very high specific heat and thermal conductivity (around 10^5 and 10^4 times that of copper respectively) so that any such heat energy will quickly be dissipated. An input of energy from the beam into the liquid Helium coolant would heat the Helium, obviating the possibility of any condensed state forming as would be required to instigate a Bose-Nova. Magnets in the Tevatron accelerator at Fermilab in the USA are superconducting and also employ liquid Helium. These magnets have been operating for more than a decade without problems, as have many other superconducting accelerators.

Unlike astrophysical Super-Novae, the end result of a Bose-Nova cannot be a black hole. Black holes with masses below the observed Planck scale have been proposed as being possible in theories containing extra dimensions [20, 21]. The safety implications of these kind of black holes was thoroughly considered in Ref. [22]. To be consistent with the non-observation of such objects, the fundamental Planck scale must be at or above the TeV energy scale. The energy of the Bose-Nova collapse is too small by at least 14 orders of magnitude to create a black hole even in these speculative low-Planck scale theories.

Finally, we address the speculation that the Bose-nova events are actually signals of a type of cold nuclear fusion. Fusion at low temperatures has been strongly refuted by the physics community due to the Coulomb repulsion of charged nuclei [23]. These arguments apply equally well to any phenomena occurring in liquid Helium or the ^{85}Rb used in the original Bose-Nova experiment. There exists no possible sustainable reaction in any of the LHC components (none of the LHC beam-line components are fissile). There is simply no way that a beam loss event could "ignite" the Helium.

Even if some fantastic physics in violation of Quantum Mechanics somehow enabled Helium molecules to undergo a Bose-nova-style collapse resulting in nuclear reactions, Helium has no energetically allowed nuclear products in any two-body fusion or exothermic scattering mode. The only nuclear reaction pure Helium can undergo is three body, $3\ ^4\mathrm{He} \rightarrow\ ^{12}\mathrm{C}$, a process which is proportional to the cube of the density, and only occurs within the dense cores of old, massive stars. It cannot undergo sustained nuclear burning at the pressures and densities used at CERN.

In conclusion, there is no science whatsoever, speculative or otherwise, which suggests that anything dangerous could happen in the Helium cooling system of the LHC. Experience in a hundred years of use in all kinds of physics experiments, as well as in high energy particle physics, indicate beyond any reasonable doubt that Helium is safe and cannot undergo any kind of unforeseen catastrophic explosion.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Elizabeth Donley of JILA and Mark Lee of University College London for looking over an earlier copy of this manuscript and John Ellis and Michaelangelo Mangano for additional comments.

References

[1] Petition to the United States District Court of Hawaii, Civil No. CV08-00136 HG, plaintiffs Luis Sancho and Walter L. Wagner.

[2] Application to the European Court of Human Rights, 20 August 2008, Markus Goritschnig and Adrian Hollaender.

[3] H. Ryuto, M. Kunibu, T. Minemura, T. Motobayashi, K. Sagara, S. Shimoura, M. Tamaki, Y. Yanagisawa and Y. Yano, "Liquid hydrogen and helium targets for radioisotope beams at RIKEN", Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. A. 555, 1, (2005).

[4] S.N. Bose, "Plancks Gesetz und Lichtquantenhypothese", Zeitschrift fr Physik 26, 178, (1924).

[5] M.H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, "Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Dilute Atomic Vapor", Science 269, 198, (1995),

[6] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn and W. Ketterle, "Observation of Feshbach resonances in a Bose-Einstein condensate", Nature, 392, 151, (1998).

[7] J. Herbig , T. Kraemer, M. Mark, T. Weber, C. Chin, H. Nägerl, R. Grimm, "Preparation of a Pure Molecular Quantum Gas", Science 301, 1510, (2003).

[8] E. A. Donley, N. R. Claussen, S. L. Cornish, J. L .Roberts, E. A. Cornell and C. E. Wieman, "Dynamics of collapsing and exploding Bose-Einstein Condensates", Nature 412, 295, (2001).

[9] J. L. Roberts, N. R. Claussen, S. L. Cornish, E. A. Donley, E. A. Cornell, and C. E. Wieman, "Controlled Collapse of a Bose-Einstein Condensate", Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4211, (2001).

[10] N. R. Claussen, E. A. Donley, S. T. Thompson, and C. E. Wieman, "Microscopic Dynamics in a Strongly Interacting Bose-Einstein Condensate", Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 010401, (2002).

[11] C. A. Sackett, J. M. Gerton, M. Welling, and R. G. Hulet, "Measurements of Collective Collapse in a Bose-Einstein Condensate with Attractive Interactions", Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 876, (1999).

[12] , Saito, Hiroki, and Ueda, Masahito, "Mean-field analysis of collapsing and exploding Bose-Einstein condensates", Phys. Rev. A 65, 3, 033624 (2002).

[13] Santos, L., Shlyapnikov, G. V. "Collapse dynamics of trapped Bose-Einstein condensates", Phys. Rev. A 66, 1, 011602 (2002).

[14] R. A. Duine, H. T. Stoof, "Dynamics of a Bose-Einstein condensate near a Feshbach resonance", Phys. Rev. A68, 3602, (2003).

[15] C. M. Savage, N. P. Robins, J. J. Hope, "Bose-Einstein condensate collapse: A comparison between theory and experiment", Phys. Rev. A67, 4304, (2003).

[16] J. N. Milstein and C. Menotti and M. J. Holland, "Feshbach resonances and collapsing Bose-Einstein condensates", J. Phys. 5, Art. No. 52 (2003)

[17] W. Schöllkopf and J.P. Toennies, "Nondestructive Mass Selection of Small van der Waals Clusters", Science, 266, 1345, (1994).

[18] F. Luo, G.C. McBane, G. Kim, C.F. Giese, and W.R.Gentry, "The weakest bond: Experimental observation of helium dimer",J. Chem. Phys. 98, 3564, (1993).

[19] J.B. Anderson, C.A. Traynor, and B.M. Boghosian, "An exact quantum Monte Carlo calculation of the helium-helium intermolecular potential", J. Chem. Phys. 99, 345, (1993).

[20] S. B. Giddings and S. D. Thomas, "High energy colliders as black hole factories: The end of short distance physics," Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 056010 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106219].

[21] S. Dimopoulos and G. L. Landsberg, "Black holes at the LHC," Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 161602 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106295].

[22] J. Ellis, G. Giudice, M. L. Mangano, I. Tkachev and U. Wiedemann, "Review of the Safety of LHC Collisions," arXiv:0806.3414 [hep-ph].

[23] A. J. Leggett and G. Baym, "Exact upper bound on barrier penetration probabilities in many-body systems: Application to cold fusion", Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 191, (1989).